

Planning Team Report

# Bolwarra Urban Release Area further amendments

| Proposal Title :                     | Bolwarra Urban Release Area   | further amendments   |                        |  |
|--------------------------------------|---|----------------------|------------------------|--|
| Proposal Summary :                   | Council proposes to alter the planning controls in the Maitland LEP 2011 for Lot 931 DP<br>1178976 Bolwarra Road, Bolwarra (known as the Bolwarra Urban Release Area).<br>The Planning Proposal (PP) would:<br>- make relatively minor adjustments to the existing residential (R1) and environmental (E3)<br>zone boundaries, and amend the minimum lot sizes accordingly;<br>- change the minimum lot size for the western & south western E3 portions from 40 ha to 2 ha;<br>and<br>- remove the land from the urban release area map. |                      |                        |  |
|                                      |   |                      |                        |  |
| PP Number :                          | PP_2013_MAITL_007_00  | Dop File No :        | 13/17918               |  |
| oposal Details                       | z.  |                      |                        |  |
| Date Planning<br>Proposal Received : | 25-Oct-2013   | LGA covered :        | Maitland               |  |
| Region :                             | Hunter  | RPA :                | Maitland City Council  |  |
| State Electorate :                   | MAITLAND  | Section of the Act : | 55 - Planning Proposal |  |
| LEP Type :                           | Spot Rezoning   |                      |                        |  |
| ocation Details                      |   |                      |                        |  |
| Street :                             |   |                      |                        |  |
| Suburb :                             | City :  |                      | Postcode               |  |
| Land Parcel : Lo                     | t 931 DP 1178976 Bolwarra Road  | , Bolwarra           |                        |  |
| DoP Planning Offi                    | icer Contact Details  |                      |                        |  |
| Contact Name :                       | Ben Holmes  |                      |                        |  |
| Contact Number :                     | 0249042709  |                      |                        |  |
| Contact Email :                      | ben.holmes@planning.nsw.gov   | /.au                 |                        |  |
| <b>RPA Contact Deta</b>              | iils  |                      |                        |  |
| Contact Name :                       | Josh Ford   |                      |                        |  |
| Contact Number :                     | 0249349720  |                      |                        |  |
| Contact Email :                      | joshf@maitland.nsw.gov.au   |                      |                        |  |
| DoP Project Mana                     | iger Contact Details  |                      |                        |  |
| Contact Name :                       |   |                      |                        |  |
| Contact Number :                     |   |                      |                        |  |
| Contact Number .                     |   |                      |                        |  |

## Bolwarra Urban Release Area further amendments

### Land Release Data

| Growth Centre :  | N/A  | Release Area Name :   | N/A                       |  |
|--|--|---|---------------------------|--|
| Regional / Sub<br>Regional Strategy :  | Lower Hunter Regional<br>Strategy  | Consistent with Strategy:   | Yes                       |  |
| MDP Number :   |  | Date of Release   |                           |  |
| Area of Release<br>(Ha) :  | 0.00   | Type of Release (eg<br>Residential /<br>Employment land) :  | Residential               |  |
| No. of Lots :  | 0  | No. of Dwellings<br>(where relevant) :  | 0                         |  |
| Gross Floor Area :   | 0  | No of Jobs Created  | 0                         |  |
| The NSW Government<br>Lobbyists Code of<br>Conduct has been<br>complied with :<br>If No, comment : | Yes  |   |                           |  |
| Have there been<br>meetings or<br>communications with<br>registered lobbyists? :                   | No   |   |                           |  |
| If Yes, comment :  |  |   |                           |  |
| Supporting notes   |  |   |                           |  |
| Internal Supporting<br>Notes :   |  |   |                           |  |
| External Supporting<br>Notes :   | BACKGROUND   |   |                           |  |
|  | The existing planning controls for the Bolwarra Urban Release Area provide for the urbar development of this precinct, and were introduced by LEP Amendment 107 (made May 2011). |   |                           |  |
|  | amend the existing controls  | anning (flooding, waste water inf<br>to better facilitate the urban devo<br>support a concept DA proposed<br>oncurrently. | elopment of the site. The |  |
|  | NO OF DWELLINGS CREATED  |   |                           |  |
|  | The changes proposed are not anticipated to increase the overall dwelling yield established by LEP Amendment 107 (approx 60 dwellings).  |   |                           |  |
|  | LOT & DP   |   |                           |  |
|  |  | ot and DP references to lot 9 DP <sup>4</sup><br>d DP is Lot 931 DP 1178976. The  |                           |  |

Is a statement of the objectives provided? Yes

Comment :

The statement of objectives is consistent with the Department's "A guide to preparing

planning proposals".

### Explanation of provisions provided - s55(2)(b)

Is an explanation of provisions provided? Yes

Comment : The exp

The explanation of provisions is consistent with the Department's "A guide to preparing planning proposals".

#### Justification - s55 (2)(c)

a) Has Council's strategy been agreed to by the Director General? Yes

b) S.117 directions identified by RPA :

\* May need the Director General's agreement

- 2.1 Environment Protection Zones
- 2.3 Heritage Conservation
- 3.1 Residential Zones
- 3.2 Caravan Parks and Manufactured Home Estates
- 3.3 Home Occupations
- 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport
- 4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils 4.3 Flood Prone Land
- 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection
- 5.1 Implementation of Regional Strategies

Is the Director General's agreement required? Yes

c) Consistent with Standard Instrument (LEPs) Order 2006 : Yes

d) Which SEPPs have the RPA identified?

SEPP No 55—Remediation of Land SEPP (Infrastructure) 2007

e) List any other matters that need to be considered :

Have inconsistencies with items a), b) and d) being adequately justified? N/A

If No, explain :

### Mapping Provided - s55(2)(d)

Is mapping provided? Yes

Comment :

### Community consultation - s55(2)(e)

Has community consultation been proposed? Yes

Comment :

A 14 day community consultation period is proposed by Council. As the PP makes relatively minor changes to the established planning scheme, it is considered a low impact proposal. The 14 day period is supported.

#### Additional Director General's requirements

Are there any additional Director General's requirements? Yes

If Yes, reasons : PROJECT TIMELINE

Council proposes a six month completion timeframe for the PP, with the PP being returned to the Department for finalisation in mid February. This is supported.

PLAN-MAKING DELEGATION

Council has accepted plan-making delegation for PPs generally. However it has not been specifically requested for this PP. The reason for this is not discussed by Council.

Bolwarra Urban Release Area further amendments

As the development proposal includes land to be dedicated to Council, plan-making delegation is not recommended.

### Overall adequacy of the proposal

Does the proposal meet the adequacy criteria? Yes

If No, comment :

### Proposal Assessment

### Principal LEP:

Due Date :

Comments in The Maitland LEP 2011 was notified in December 2011. relation to Principal LEP :

### **Assessment Criteria**

| Need for planning | Council states the PP is needed due to:   |
|-------------------|---|
| proposal :        | <ol> <li>unforseen requirements for land filling to accommodate wastewater infrastructure at the<br/>site;</li> </ol> |
|                   | 2. a revised flood level since gazettal of LEP Amendment 107;   |
|                   | 3. potential for better management of environmental land within the site; and   |
|                   | 4. potential for public access through the western portion of the site, adjoining the Hunter                          |
|                   | River (note: this is land proposed to be dedicated to Council).   |
|                   | These reasons support the rezoning and minimum lot size components of the PP, and are justified.                      |
|                   | The PP would also remove the land from the Urban Release Area map. In doing so, Part 6                                |
|                   | of the LEP (provisions for state infrastructure, local servicing, DCP) would not apply.                               |
|                   | To support this, Council has provided advice from the Department (see DP&I Infrastructure                             |
|                   | Letter in Appendix 3) advising that state infrastructure contributions are not required for                           |
|                   | the site. Further, Council has advised that in progressing the DA concurrently with the PP,                           |
|                   | Council will resolve local servicing and the DCP matters set out in the LEP clauses 6.2 and                           |
|                   | 6.3 respectively.   |
|                   | Given these circumstances, retaining the land on the URA map would be of minimal value                                |
|                   | and so this change is justified also.   |
|                   |   |

#### Bolwarra Urban Release Area further amendments

Consistency with strategic planning framework :

#### LOWER HUNTER REGIONAL STRATEGY (LHRS)

The PP is proposed in order to better facilitate the development of an existing, undeveloped urban release area which would deliver approximately 60 dwellings. In this context, the proposal is considered consistent with the LHRS because it would help achieve greenfield residential targets. The proposal may also see a better environmental and social outcome (discussed further below under the 'Environmental Social Economic Impacts' section).

#### LOCAL STRATEGIES

Council advises the PP is consistent with its Community Strategic Plan.

STATE ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING POLICIES (SEPPs)

The PP is consistent with the relevant SEPPs.

s117 DIRECTIONS

The PP is consistent with the relevant s117 directions except the following which require further discussion:

4.3 Flood Prone Land - in amending the R1/ E3 zone boundary, some flood affected E3 would be rezoned R1 and as a result the PP is inconsistent with this direction (subclause 5). Council has undertaken further flooding investigations and proposes minor filling in these areas to provide flood-free building envelopes, stating the filling is of minor significance.

Council is the planning authority responsible for managing flooding issues and following further studies (infrastructure and flooding) has a better understanding of the flooding impacts in the area and filling requirements. The matters set out in the direction have been adequately considered by Council and the DG should agree that the inconsistency with this direction is of minor significance.

Environmental social economic impacts :

#### ENVIRONMENTAL

Environmental issues associated with the proposal are anticipated to be minimal. While the PP would change the E3/ R1 zone boundary, this boundary was originally determined based on flood impacts which Council is satisfied can be adequately managed under the revised boundary, noting Council has also undertaken further flooding work which has reduced the flood height.

The minimum lot size for a section of the E3 area would be reduced from 40 ha to 2 ha, in effect allowing the land to be subdivided into three additional E3 zoned parcels. This however is not anticipated to adversely affect the environmental values of the land. One of the parcels is to be dedicated to Council (for public access to the Hunter River). While the other two have limited development potential being largely flood affected and zoned E3. Any future development on these two parcels would be limited to the flood free portion which is currently zoned R1.

Other issues such as ecology, noise, vibration, contamination, visual, heritage, traffic, and bushfire impacts were considered in detail as part of LEP Amendment 107. This included agency consultation with agencies like RFS and OEH.

The land also includes an aboriginal place - King Tom's Memorial Headstone. While the new controls are not anticipated to adversely affect this place, the Mindaribba LALC and OEH should be consulted as part of the PP process.

#### SOCIAL

Reducing the minimum lot size of part of the E3 section should facilitate the dedication of a strip of land on the western edge of the site which adjoins the Hunter River. This may

|  | provide public access<br>site. This should resu |                                 | for the community and im<br>ve social outcome.  | prove linkages th  | rough the         |
|--|---|---------------------------------|---|--------------------|-------------------|
|  | ECONOMIC  |                                 |   |                    |                   |
|  | particularly in light of                        | f servicing is<br>g these issue | better facilitate the develo<br>sues that arose after the c<br>es should allow the develo<br>ion of the estate. | original LEP contr | ols were          |
| Assessment Proces                                  | SS  |                                 |   |                    |                   |
| Proposal type :                                    | Minor   |                                 | Community Consultation<br>Period :  | 14 Days            |                   |
| Timeframe to make<br>LEP :                         | 6 months  |                                 | Delegation :  | DDG                |                   |
| Public Authority<br>Consultation - 56(2)<br>(d) :  | Office of Environmen<br>Other                   | t and Heritag                   | ge  |                    |                   |
| Is Public Hearing by th                            |   | No                              |   |                    |                   |
| (2)(a) Should the matter<br>If no, provide reasons |   | Yes                             |   |                    |                   |
| 2  |   |                                 |   |                    |                   |
| Resubmission - s56(2)                              | (b) : <b>No</b>                                 |                                 |   |                    |                   |
| If Yes, reasons :<br>Identify any additional       | studies, if required.                           |                                 |   |                    |                   |
| If Other, provide reaso                            |   |                                 |   |                    |                   |
| Identify any internal co                           | nsultations, if required :                      |                                 |   |                    |                   |
| No internal consultati                             | ion required                                    |                                 |   |                    |                   |
| Is the provision and fu<br>If Yes, reasons :       | nding of state infrastructu                     | re relevant to                  | this plan? <b>No</b>  |                    |                   |
| cuments  |   |                                 |   | al mir alle.       |                   |
| Document File Name                                 |   |                                 | DocumentType Na   | ame                | Is Public         |
| Council report.pdf<br>Council minutes.pdf          | o appendices).pdf                               |                                 | Proposal<br>Proposal<br>Proposal  |                    | Yes<br>Yes<br>Yes |

Planning Team Recommendation

Yes

Yes

Yes

Yes

Yes

| Bolwarra Urban Release Area further amendments |  |
|--|--|
| Preparation of the planni                      | ng proposal supported at this stage : Recommended with Conditions  |
| S.117 directions:                              | 2.1 Environment Protection Zones   |
|  | 2.3 Heritage Conservation<br>3.1 Residential Zones   |
|  | 3.1 Residential Zones<br>3.2 Caravan Parks and Manufactured Home Estates   |
|  | 3.3 Home Occupations   |
|  | 3.4 Integrating Land Use and Transport   |
|  | 4.1 Acid Sulfate Soils   |
|  | 4.1 Acid Sunate Sons<br>4.3 Flood Prone Land   |
|  |  |
|  | 4.4 Planning for Bushfire Protection<br>5.1 Implementation of Regional Strategies  |
| Additional Information :                       | The following conditions are recommended:  |
|  | 1. Prior to undertaking public exhibition, Council is to update the planning proposal to replace references to lot 9 DP 1116838 with the correct lot and DP, lot 931 DP 1178976.   |
| ×  | 2. Community consultation is required under sections 56(2)(c) and 57 of the  |
|  | Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 ("EP&A Act") as follows:  |
|  | (a) the planning proposal must be made publicly available for a minimum of 14 days; and  |
|  | (b) the relevant planning authority must comply with the notice requirements for public  |
|  | exhibition of planning proposals and the specifications for material that must be made   |
|  | publicly available along with planning proposals as identified in section 5.5.2 of A Guide   |
|  | to Preparing LEPs (Department of Planning & Infrastructure 2013).  |
|  | 3. Consultation is required with the following public authorities under section 56(2)(d) of the EP&A Act:  |
| 7  | • Office of Environment and Heritage (OEH) and with the Mindarriba Local Aboriginal Land Council.  |
|  | Each public authority is to be provided with a copy of the planning proposal and any relevant supporting material, and given at least 21 days to comment on the proposal.  |
|  | 4. A public hearing is not required to be held into the matter by any person or body<br>under section 56(2)(e) of the EP&A Act. This does not discharge Council from any<br>obligation it may otherwise have to conduct a public hearing (for example, in response to<br>a submission or if reclassifying land). |
|  | 5. The timeframe for completing the LEP is to be 6 months.   |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  | The DG's delegate should agree that the PP's inconsistency with s117 direction 4.3 Flood Prone Land is of minor significance.  |
|  | Authorisation to use plan-making delegation should not be given to Council.  |
|  | Autionsation to use plan-making delegation should not be given to obtincit.  |
| Supporting Reasons :                           | а.   |
|  | $\sqrt{100}$   |
| Signature                                      | Kalat.   |
| Printed Name:                                  | KO'FLAHERTY Date: 18-10-13   |